



**FIGURE 27–11** Niels Bohr (right), walking with Enrico Fermi along the Appian Way outside Rome. This photo shows one important way physics is done.

**CAUTION**

Not correct to say light is a wave and/or a particle. Light can **act** like a wave or like a particle

To clarify the situation, the great Danish physicist Niels Bohr (1885–1962, Fig. 27–11) proposed his famous **principle of complementarity**. It states that to understand an experiment, sometimes we find an explanation using wave theory and sometimes using particle theory. Yet we must be aware of both the wave and particle aspects of light if we are to have a full understanding of light. Therefore these two aspects of light complement one another.

It is not easy to “visualize” this duality. We cannot readily picture a combination of wave and particle. Instead, we must recognize that the two aspects of light are different “faces” that light shows to experimenters.

Part of the difficulty stems from how we think. Visual pictures (or models) in our minds are based on what we see in the everyday world. We apply the concepts of waves and particles to light because in the macroscopic world we see that energy is transferred from place to place by these two methods. We cannot see directly whether light is a wave or particle—so we do indirect experiments. To explain the experiments, we apply the models of waves or of particles to the nature of light. But these are abstractions of the human mind. When we try to conceive of what light really “is,” we insist on a visual picture. Yet there is no reason why light should conform to these models (or visual images) taken from the macroscopic world. The “true” nature of light—if that means anything—is not possible to visualize. The best we can do is recognize that our knowledge is limited to the indirect experiments, and that in terms of everyday language and images, light reveals both wave and particle properties.

It is worth noting that Einstein’s equation  $E = hf$  itself links the particle and wave properties of a light beam. In this equation,  $E$  refers to the energy of a particle; and on the other side of the equation, we have the frequency  $f$  of the corresponding wave.

## 27–8 Wave Nature of Matter

In 1923, Louis de Broglie (1892–1987) extended the idea of the wave–particle duality. He much appreciated the symmetry in nature, and argued that if light sometimes behaves like a wave and sometimes like a particle, then perhaps those things in nature thought to be particles—such as electrons and other material objects—might also have wave properties. De Broglie proposed that the wavelength of a material particle would be related to its momentum in the same way as for a photon, Eq. 27–6,  $p = h/\lambda$ . That is, for a particle having linear momentum  $p = mv$ , the wavelength  $\lambda$  is given by

$$\lambda = \frac{h}{p}, \quad (27-8)$$

*de Broglie wavelength*

and is valid classically ( $p = m_0v$  for  $v \ll c$ ) and relativistically ( $p = \gamma m_0v = m_0v/\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}$ ). This is sometimes called the **de Broglie wavelength** of a particle.

**EXAMPLE 27–10 Wavelength of a ball.** Calculate the de Broglie wavelength of a 0.20-kg ball moving with a speed of 15 m/s.

**APPROACH** We simply use Eq. 27–8.

**SOLUTION** 
$$\lambda = \frac{h}{p} = \frac{h}{mv} = \frac{(6.6 \times 10^{-34} \text{ J}\cdot\text{s})}{(0.20 \text{ kg})(15 \text{ m/s})} = 2.2 \times 10^{-34} \text{ m}.$$

The wavelength of Example 27–10 is an unimaginably small wavelength. Even if the speed were extremely small, say  $10^{-4}$  m/s, the wavelength would be about  $10^{-29}$  m. Indeed, the wavelength of any ordinary object is much too small to be measured and detected. The problem is that the properties of waves, such as