

In his famous 1905 paper, Einstein proposed doing away completely with the idea of the ether and the accompanying assumption of an absolute reference frame at rest. This proposal was embodied in two postulates. The first postulate was an extension of the Galilean–Newtonian relativity principle to include not only the laws of mechanics but also those of the rest of physics, including electricity and magnetism:

**First postulate<sup>†</sup> (the relativity principle):** The laws of physics have the same form in all inertial reference frames.

*The first postulate of special relativity*

The second postulate is consistent with the first:

**Second postulate (constancy of the speed of light):** Light propagates through empty space with a definite speed  $c$  independent of the speed of the source or observer.

*The second postulate of special relativity*

These two postulates form the foundation of Einstein’s **special theory of relativity**. It is called “special” to distinguish it from his later “general theory of relativity,” which deals with noninertial (accelerating) reference frames (Chapter 33). The special theory, which is what we discuss here, deals only with inertial frames.

The second postulate may seem hard to accept, for it seems to violate common sense. First of all, we have to think of light traveling through empty space. Giving up the ether is not too hard, however, since it had never been detected. But the second postulate also tells us that the speed of light in vacuum is always the same,  $3.00 \times 10^8$  m/s, no matter what the speed of the observer or the source. Thus, a person traveling toward or away from a source of light will measure the same speed for that light as someone at rest with respect to the source. This conflicts with our everyday experience: we would expect to have to add in the velocity of the observer. On the other hand, perhaps we can’t expect our everyday experience to be helpful when dealing with the high velocity of light. Furthermore, the null result of the Michelson–Morley experiment is fully consistent with the second postulate.<sup>‡</sup>

Einstein’s proposal has a certain beauty. By doing away with the idea of an absolute reference frame, it was possible to reconcile classical mechanics with Maxwell’s electromagnetic theory. The speed of light predicted by Maxwell’s equations *is* the speed of light in vacuum in *any* reference frame.

Einstein’s theory required us to give up commonsense notions of space and time, and in the following Sections we will examine some strange but interesting consequences of special relativity. Our arguments for the most part will be simple ones. We will use a technique that Einstein himself did: we will imagine very simple experimental situations in which little mathematics is needed. In this way, we can see many of the consequences of relativity theory without getting involved in detailed calculations. Einstein called these “thought” experiments.

*“Thought experiment”*

## 26–3 Simultaneity

An important consequence of the theory of relativity is that we can no longer regard time as an absolute quantity. No one doubts that time flows onward and never turns back. But the time interval between two events, and even whether or not two events are simultaneous, depends on the observer’s reference frame. By an “event,” which we use a lot here, we mean something that happens at a particular place and at a particular time.

*Event defined*

<sup>†</sup>The first postulate can also be stated as: *There is no experiment you can do in an inertial reference frame to tell if you are at rest or moving uniformly at constant velocity.*

<sup>‡</sup>The Michelson–Morley experiment can also be considered as evidence for the first postulate, since it was intended to measure the motion of the Earth relative to an absolute reference frame. Its failure to do so implies the absence of any such preferred frame.