

### Stating the uncertainty

When giving the result of a measurement, it is important to state the **estimated uncertainty** in the measurement. For example, the width of a board might be written as  $8.8 \pm 0.1$  cm. The  $\pm 0.1$  cm (“plus or minus 0.1 cm”) represents the estimated uncertainty in the measurement, so that the actual width most likely lies between 8.7 and 8.9 cm. The **percent uncertainty** is simply the ratio of the uncertainty to the measured value, multiplied by 100. For example, if the measurement is 8.8 and the uncertainty about 0.1 cm, the percent uncertainty is

$$\frac{0.1}{8.8} \times 100\% \approx 1\%$$

where  $\approx$  means “is roughly equal to.”

### Assumed uncertainty

Often the uncertainty in a measured value is not specified explicitly. In such cases, the uncertainty is generally assumed to be one or a few units in the last digit specified. For example, if a length is given as 8.8 cm, the uncertainty is assumed to be about 0.1 cm or 0.2 cm. It is important in this case that you do not write 8.80 cm, for this implies an uncertainty on the order of 0.01 cm; it assumes that the length is probably between 8.79 cm and 8.81 cm, when actually you believe it is between 8.7 and 8.9 cm.

**CONCEPTUAL EXAMPLE 1-1** **Is the diamond yours?** A friend asks to borrow your precious diamond for a day to show her family. You are a bit worried, so you carefully have your diamond weighed on a scale which reads 8.17 grams. The scale’s accuracy is claimed to be  $\pm 0.05$  gram. The next day you weigh the returned diamond again, getting 8.09 grams. Is this your diamond?

**RESPONSE** The scale readings are measurements and do not necessarily give the “true” value of the mass. Each measurement could have been high or low by up to 0.05 gram or so. The actual mass of your diamond lies most likely between 8.12 grams and 8.22 grams. The actual mass of the returned diamond is most likely between 8.04 grams and 8.14 grams. These two ranges overlap, so there is not a strong reason to doubt that the returned diamond is yours, at least based on the scale readings.

## Significant Figures

### Which digits are significant?

The number of reliably known digits in a number is called the number of **significant figures**. Thus there are four significant figures in the number 23.21 cm and two in the number 0.062 cm (the zeros in the latter are merely place holders that show where the decimal point goes). The number of significant figures may not always be clear. Take, for example, the number 80. Are there one or two significant figures? If we say it is *about* 80 km between two cities, there is only one significant figure (the 8) since the zero is merely a place holder. If it is *exactly* 80 km within an accuracy of 1 or 2 km, then the 80 has two significant figures.<sup>†</sup> If it is precisely 80 km, to within  $\pm 0.1$  km, then we write 80.0 km.

When making measurements, or when doing calculations, you should avoid the temptation to keep more digits in the final answer than is justified. For example, to calculate the area of a rectangle 11.3 cm by 6.8 cm, the result of multiplication would be  $76.84$  cm<sup>2</sup>. But this answer is clearly not accurate to 0.01 cm<sup>2</sup>, since (using the outer limits of the assumed uncertainty for each measurement) the result could be between  $11.2$  cm  $\times$   $6.7$  cm =  $75.04$  cm<sup>2</sup> and  $11.4$  cm  $\times$   $6.9$  cm =  $78.66$  cm<sup>2</sup>. At best, we can quote the answer as  $77$  cm<sup>2</sup>, which implies an uncertainty of about 1 or 2 cm<sup>2</sup>. The other two digits (in the number  $76.84$  cm<sup>2</sup>) must be dropped since they are not significant. As a rough general rule (i.e., in the absence of a detailed consideration of uncertainties), we can say that *the final result of a multiplication or division should have only as many digits as the number with the least number of significant figures used in the calculation*. In our example, 6.8 cm has the least number of significant figures, namely two. Thus the result  $76.84$  cm<sup>2</sup> needs to be rounded off to  $77$  cm<sup>2</sup>.

### PROBLEM SOLVING

*Number of significant figures in final result should be same as least significant input value*

<sup>†</sup>If the 80 has two significant figures, some people prefer to write it 80., with a decimal point. This is not usually done, so the number of significant figures in 80 can be ambiguous unless something is said about it such as “about” (meaning  $80 \pm 10$ ), or “very nearly” or “precisely” (meaning  $80 \pm 1$ ).