

FIGURE 1-1 Aristotle is the central figure (dressed in blue) at the top of the stairs (the figure next to him is Plato) in this famous Renaissance portrayal of *The School of Athens*, painted by Raphael around 1510. Also in this painting, considered one of the great masterpieces in art, are Euclid (drawing a circle at the lower right), Ptolemy (extreme right with globe), Pythagoras, Socrates, and Diogenes.

Observation and experiment

Motion is as natural as rest

Theories

Testing a theory

Theory acceptance

One important aspect of science is **observation** of events, which includes the design and carrying out of experiments. But observation requires imagination, for scientists can never include everything in a description of what they observe. Hence, scientists must make judgments about what is relevant in their observations and experiments. Consider, for example, how two great minds, Aristotle (384–322 B.C.; Fig. 1–1) and Galileo (1564–1642; Fig. 2–17), interpreted motion along a horizontal surface. Aristotle noted that objects given an initial push along the ground (or on a tabletop) always slow down and stop. Consequently, Aristotle argued that the natural state of an object is at rest. Galileo, in his reexamination of horizontal motion in the early 1600s, imagined that if friction could be eliminated, an object given an initial push along a horizontal surface would continue to move indefinitely without stopping. He concluded that for an object to be in motion was just as natural as for it to be at rest. By inventing a new approach, Galileo founded our modern view of motion (Chapters 2, 3, and 4). Galileo made this intellectual leap conceptually, without actually eliminating friction.

Observation, with careful experimentation and measurement, is one side of the scientific process. The other side is the invention or creation of **theories** to explain and order the observations. Theories are never derived directly from observations. Observations may help inspire a theory, and theories are accepted or rejected based on observation and experiment.

Theories are inspirations that come from the minds of human beings. For example, the idea that matter is made up of atoms (the atomic theory) was not arrived at by direct observation of atoms—we can't see atoms directly. Rather, the idea sprang from creative minds. The theory of relativity, the electromagnetic theory of light, and Newton's law of universal gravitation were likewise the result of human imagination.

The great theories of science may be compared, as creative achievements, with great works of art or literature. But how does science differ from these other creative activities? One important difference is that science requires **testing** of its ideas or theories to see if their predictions are borne out by experiment. But theories are not "proved" by testing. First of all, no measuring instrument is perfect, so exact confirmation cannot be possible. Furthermore, it is not possible to test a theory for every possible set of circumstances. Hence a theory can never be absolutely "proved." Indeed, the history of science tells us that longheld theories are sometimes replaced by new ones.

A new theory is accepted by scientists in some cases because its predictions are quantitatively in better agreement with experiment than those of the older